

1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N W East Lobby, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20007-5201 202.635.3500 office 202 298 7570 fax

Facsimile

الوهم يتل

| To | | Сотрапу | Fax Number | Phone Number | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | Examiner Guy LaMarre | U.S.P.T.O., Art Unit 2133 | 571-273-3826 | 571-272-3826 | | | | | |
| | • • | • • • • • • | | • | | | | | |
| Date | | ClienVMatter Number | | | | | | | |
| Feb | ruary 8, 2005 | MP0069 (213200-00048) | | | | | | | |
| From | | Application Number | | | | | | | |
| Andrew J. Bateman | | 09/730,598 | | | | | | | |
| Pnor | e | Fax | | | | | | | |
| 202 | 625-3547 | 202-339-6 | 202-339-6042 | | | | | | |
| | | Total number of pages, includi If you do not receive all of the pages, i | ng cover letter: 2 please call: 202.625.3515 | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | and the state of | | | | | |

Comments

Re: Agenda for interview on February 17, 2005, at 3:00 pm U.S. Application No. 09/730,598

Examiner LaMarre,

If you have not yet received the tentative agenda for our upcoming interview, enclosed is a copy of that agenda. I apologize for the delay in sending the agenda to you. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 202-625-3547.

| Regards, | Boten | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---|---|--------|--------------|--|--|
| For Messenger Department Use Only | | | | | Important | | | | |
| Your fax has been sent. Attached is your original. | | | | | This tecsimile transmission contains information intended for the exclusive use of the individual or critity to whom a is addressed a contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential an exempt from disclosure under applicable law. | | | | |
| Date Time Signature | | | | if you are not the intended recipient (or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this facaimile transmission to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any copying, disclosure or distribution of this information may be subject to legal restriction or sanction. Please notify the sender by telephone to arrange for the return or destruction of the information and all copies. | | | | | |
| Chicago | Now York | Los Angeles | Washington, DC | Chanoge | Paio Ano | Newark | www hmzr com | | |

A Law Partnership including Professional Corporations



Memorandum

To:

Examiner Guy J. LaMarre

From:

Andrew J. Bateman, Reg. No. 45,573

Date:

February 4, 2005

Re:

Agenda for Personal Interview at 3:00 pm on February 17, 2005, to discuss

Office Action received in connection with U.S. Patent Application No.

09/730,598

The following is a proposed agenda of the topics and issues to be discussed during the interview scheduled for Thursday, February 17, 2005, at 3:00 pm.

As an overview, it is desired to discuss or at least touch upon each of the objections and rejections cited in the current Office Action mailed December 21, 2004. In particular, the following topics will be addressed during the interview:

- 1. Discuss objections to specification, as listed on pages 1-2 of the Office Action, including discussion to resolve apparent confusion on the part of the Patent Office regarding the disclosure of the present application (e.g., see section 2.1.2 of Office Action).
- 2. Discuss 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections of claims 1-190, as listed on page 2 of the Office Action. In particular, there appears to be some confusion on the part of the Patent Office regarding the terminology used in the claims, which will be discussed.
- 3. Discuss double patenting rejection of claims 1-190, as listed on page 3 of the Office Action. It is respectfully submitted that the rejection is improper and improperly applied.
- 4. Discuss 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 1-190 as allegedly being unpatentable over combination of Admitted Prior Art, Tanner, Roohparvar and McClure. It is respectfully submitted that Roohparvar and McClure are non-analogous art, and are, therefore, improperly applied. In addition, there is no mention, discussion or use of those references in the rejections. Furthermore, the combination of Admitted Prior Art and Tanner does not disclose or suggest numerous features recited in the claims of the present application. Each of these points will be discussed in turn.

If the Examiner should have any questions regarding this agenda or require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact Andrew J. Bateman at 202-625-3547.